	Case 2:20-cv-00800-TLN-AC Documen	t 11 Filed 07/29/20 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	BILLY DRIVER, JR.,	No. 2:20-cv-0800 TLN AC P
12	,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	J. GARRY,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to	
19	28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On July 13, 2020, plaintiff filed a motion to file	
20	his documents electronically. ECF No. 10. For the reason stated below, the motion will be	
21	denied.	
22	Although the Eastern District of California is an electronic management/filing district,	
23	unrepresented persons are required to file and serve paper documents unless the assigned District	
24	Judge or Magistrate Judge grants leave to utilize electronic filing. L.R. 133(a), (b)(2). A pro se	
25	party may bring a request to use electronic filing as a written motion setting out an explanation of	
26	reasons for the requested exception. L.R. 133(b)(3). A motion to e-file must make clear whether	
27	a plaintiff is familiar with the requirements applicable to electronic filing in this court, and	
28	whether a plaintiff has access to the hardware and software needed for electronic filing. Once a	
		1

plaintiff is approved to file documents electronically, he will no longer receive any documents by mail, and the court will not accept paper documents from him, meaning he can no longer mail in any filings. Plaintiff's motion does not provide an explanation of reasons why plaintiff should be excepted from the paper filing requirement for pro se litigants. See ECF No. 10; see also L.R. 133(b)(3) (explanation requirement). Furthermore, plaintiff does not indicate that he has regular access to all the resources needed to electronically file, view, and retrieve case documents successfully. See ECF No. 10. Such resources are not generally available to incarcerated litigants. Although this court does have a pilot program through which inmates may commence actions by electronic submission of a complaint through a process provided by the prison, that project does not currently extend to the ongoing electronic filing of documents. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that 's motion to file his documents electronically in this action (ECF No. 10) is DENIED. DATED: July 28, 2020 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE The CM/ECF User Manual, which lists all items needed to file electronically, is available on the court's website at:

Case 2:20-cv-00800-TLN-AC Document 11 Filed 07/29/20 Page 2 of 2

http://www.caed.uscourts.gov/caednew/index.cfm/cmecf-e-filing/cmecf-users-manual/.